



# The Use of Generative AI Tools in Higher Education: Ethical and Pedagogical Principles

Nguyen Khoa Viet<sup>1</sup> 

Accepted: 5 February 2025 / Published online: 14 February 2025  
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2025

## Abstract

The integration of generative AI tools in higher education presents both significant opportunities and challenges. This paper addresses two key questions: how AI should be incorporated into higher education and what ethical and pedagogical principles should guide its use. While AI enhances creativity, efficiency, and personalized learning, it also raises concerns about over-reliance, bias, and ethical implications. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature, this study examines the ethical and pedagogical impact of AI in education. Findings suggest that institutions must ensure AI complements traditional learning, uphold academic integrity, and promote critical thinking. Human-AI collaboration and equitable access are essential to support diverse learners. Additionally, prioritizing ethical AI use, data privacy, and AI literacy safeguards student rights and prepares educators and students for the evolving technological landscape. The paper concludes that institutions must develop clear AI policies, embed AI ethics into curricula, and provide ongoing faculty training. By addressing these considerations, higher education can harness AI's benefits while maintaining the critical role of human educators and ensuring equitable and ethical access for all learners.

**Keywords** Academic integrity · Ethical and pedagogical principles · GenAI · Higher education · Responsible AI

## Introduction

Generative AI (GenAI) technologies, such as OpenAI's ChatGPT, Google's Gemini, DALL·E, DeepSeek and Microsoft Copilot, have rapidly transformed various sectors, including higher education. These tools, powered by large language models and deep learning algorithms, can generate human-like text, images, code, and even music, offering new opportunities to enhance both teaching and learning experiences (Hu, 2023; Thorp, 2023). For example, ChatGPT can assist students in writing assignments, clarify complex topics, and generate

---

✉ Nguyen Khoa Viet  
khoa.nguyenviet@hust.edu.vn

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Foreign Languages, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

personalized learning content, while DALL-E helps students visualize concepts in creative fields such as design, art, and architecture (Celik, 2023; Hutson et al., 2024; Zhou, 2024).

In higher education, GenAI presents significant opportunities for promoting sustainable development by improving accessibility, enhancing educational outcomes, and reducing resource consumption. AI-powered tools can streamline administrative tasks such as grading, feedback, and course management, leading to greater efficiency and more sustainable operations. Additionally, they support the development of creative solutions in fields like design and engineering, contributing to sustainable innovations that address global challenges such as climate change, social equity, and resource management (McDonald et al., 2024; Samala et al., 2024). These tools can also foster more personalized and adaptive learning experiences, reducing the need for physical materials and enabling students from diverse backgrounds to engage in education with greater flexibility and accessibility.

However, the integration of GenAI in higher education must be approached with careful consideration of its ethical and societal implications. While these technologies hold great promise for fostering sustainability in educational practices, they also raise concerns about academic integrity, over-reliance on AI-generated content, and potential bias in decision-making processes (Ardito, 2024; Yusuf et al., 2024; Zhai et al., 2024). The use of AI in education must be guided by principles that not only promote effective learning but also align with broader sustainable goals, ensuring that AI supports human creativity, critical thinking, and ethical practices without undermining the values of academic integrity or social equity.

As the role of GenAI continues to grow in educational settings, there is an urgent need to establish clear guidelines for its responsible use. This article explores two key questions that are essential for the ethical and sustainable integration of AI tools in higher education:

- 1) How should GenAI tools be integrated into higher education?
- 2) What ethical and pedagogical principles should guide their use?

The first question examines the practical aspects of using AI in academic environments, with a focus on how these tools can enhance teaching and learning while contributing to sustainability goals in education. This second question investigates both ethical and pedagogical principles governing the integration of AI in higher education. It explores how AI usage should align with established teaching methodologies, instructional design, and learning theories, in addition to ethical considerations such as academic integrity, data privacy, and equitable access. Moreover, it emphasizes the role of AI in supporting sustainable educational practices, ensuring that institutions develop AI policies that align with long-term sustainability goals, resource efficiency, and inclusive learning environments.

By addressing these questions, the article aims to provide a framework for the responsible and ethical use of GenAI tools in higher education. While these technologies offer significant opportunities to enhance learning, creativity, and efficiency, their integration must be carefully managed to ensure that they complement human teaching, preserve essential academic values, and contribute to the long-term sustainability of educational systems and society at large.

## Methodology

In this article, a literature review methodology was employed to examine the integration of GenAI tools in higher education. The literature was shortlisted based on studies published between 2016 and 2024 (mainly in 2024) that focus on GenAI's role in

teaching, learning, sustainability, and ethics. The selection of studies was guided by inclusion and exclusion criteria focused on educational relevance and the ethical implications of AI tools. Research not focused on GenAI or higher education, or non-peer-reviewed sources, was excluded. Through this process, the most relevant studies were synthesized to provide a comprehensive overview of GenAI's applications and ethical considerations.

## Data Collection

Relevant studies were identified through a systematic search of academic databases following the PRISMA protocol (Moher et al., 2009), including Scopus, Web of Science, Taylor & Francis, Google Scholar, and SpringerLink. The search was conducted using keywords such as “Generative AI in education,” “AI ethics in higher education,” “pedagogical implications of AI,” and “AI-driven learning tools.” The review process consisted of four stages: identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion. Initially, 312 studies were identified through database searches. After removing duplicates and applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 157 studies were screened based on their titles and abstracts. Of these, 72 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility, and 45 studies were ultimately included in the review. This process ensured that only high-quality, peer-reviewed literature relevant to the integration of GenAI in higher education was analyzed.

To ensure the inclusion of high-quality and relevant studies, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied. Studies were included if they explicitly addressed the application of GenAI tools in higher education, ethical considerations, pedagogical impacts, or policy implications. Peer-reviewed articles and research papers focusing on AI literacy, student engagement, and faculty perspectives were prioritized. Conversely, articles that did not specifically focus on GenAI in higher education, opinion pieces without empirical support, studies based on outdated AI models, and non-peer-reviewed sources were excluded.

## Data Analysis

A thematic analysis approach was employed to categorize findings from the selected literature, following the six-phase process outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). This method was chosen for its ability to identify and report recurring patterns (themes) within qualitative data, providing deeper insights into the topic. Each study was systematically reviewed, and codes were generated to capture key concepts. These codes were then grouped into broader themes through an iterative process to ensure consistency and reliability.

The analysis identified four main themes:

1. **Benefits of GenAI in Education:** This theme encompasses the advantages of GenAI, including personalized learning, efficiency in grading, and AI-assisted creativity. For instance, personalized learning refers to AI's capacity to adapt content to individual student needs, offering targeted feedback and improving engagement and outcomes (Pacheco-Mendoza et al., 2023; Bettayeb et al., 2024). Efficiency in grading highlights how AI automates administrative tasks, freeing educators to focus on more complex pedagogical activities.

2. **Challenges and Risks:** This theme explores concerns such as academic integrity, potential biases in AI systems, and the risk of over-reliance on technology, which could undermine critical thinking and independent learning.
3. **Ethical Considerations:** This theme focuses on privacy issues, data security, and the transparency of AI-generated content. Ensuring ethical AI use in education is critical for safeguarding student rights and maintaining trust.
4. **Pedagogical Strategies:** This theme highlights the integration of AI as a collaborative tool to support critical thinking development and enhance teaching practices. It also emphasizes the need for institutional policies that promote responsible AI use.

The Benefits of GenAI in Education theme was further broken down into specific subthemes to provide detailed insights. For example, the AI-assisted creativity subtheme included studies on how generative AI tools like DALL·E and ChatGPT foster student creativity by generating visual content and writing prompts, allowing students to explore new ideas and perspectives. Relevant quotes and data excerpts from these studies were synthesized to develop a cohesive understanding of how AI supports creative processes in education. This thematic analysis enabled a structured discussion on the role of GenAI in higher education while identifying gaps in the literature that need further exploration.

## Limitations

While this review provides a broad overview of the topic, it is subject to certain limitations. First, the reliance on published literature means that emerging AI applications in experimental phases may not be fully captured. Second, given the rapid advancements in AI, the relevance of certain findings may evolve over time. Lastly, while efforts were made to include diverse perspectives, the scope may be limited by language constraints, as studies published in non-English languages were not included.

Despite these limitations, this methodology ensures a rigorous and systematic examination of the role of GenAI tools in higher education, offering valuable insights into their ethical and pedagogical implications.

## Overview of GenAI Tools in Higher Education

### Types of GenAI Tools

According to Stryker and Scapicchio (2024), GenAI refers to a class of artificial intelligence systems designed to create new content based on input data. These systems use advanced machine learning models, particularly deep learning, to generate text, images, code, or other types of content that mimic human creativity. Unlike traditional AI, which performs tasks based on predefined rules, GenAI learns patterns from large datasets and produces original outputs (Interaction Design Foundation, 2023).

For example, ChatGPT and Copilot are text generation tools that can craft coherent sentences, answer questions, and generate essays or articles based on prompts. DALL·E, another GenAI, creates images from textual descriptions, making it useful

for artistic and creative applications. Similarly, GitHub Copilot assists in code generation by suggesting code snippets or even entire functions based on the context provided by the developer. Recent versions of generative artificial intelligence chatbots such as ChatGPT and Copilot can deal with both text and images, with new features being continuously added. There is no doubt that these tools are reshaping fields from education to software development, offering powerful assistance in various creative and technical tasks.

## Current Applications in Higher Education

GenAI tools and similar text generation platforms are increasingly employed by students and educators to assist with writing tasks. Bhullar et al. (2024) argue that these tools can help students draft essays, improve sentence structure, and refine ideas by providing suggestions for wording and grammar corrections. Similarly, Celik (2023) highlights that AI platforms can generate outlines, offer feedback on written content, and assist with research by summarizing complex information. Hutson et al. (2024) emphasize the value of these tools for students aiming to enhance their writing skills, particularly non-native speakers seeking support with language nuances. Lee et al. (2024) and Montenegro-Rueda et al. (2023) further point out that AI-driven assistance can provide significant benefits for developing writing proficiency. Thorp (2023) cautions that the use of such tools must be carefully monitored to ensure they function as learning aids rather than shortcuts, thereby preventing issues of academic dishonesty.

GenAI tools like Otio, QuillBot and Scholarcy are also being employed in higher education to assist with tasks such as summarizing academic articles, generating research topics, and offering insights on complex subjects. AI-powered summarization tools can condense lengthy research papers or textbook chapters into concise summaries, helping students grasp key ideas more efficiently (ScreenApp, 2024). This is especially useful for managing large volumes of academic reading.

Additionally, as Bahroun et al. (2023) and Chubb et al. (2021) demonstrate, AI tools can suggest research topics by analyzing trends, gaps in existing literature, or by expanding on a student's initial idea. They can also provide insights into various disciplines, offering new perspectives, relevant sources, or potential frameworks for research projects. These capabilities enhance the learning and research process, enabling students and academics to explore topics more deeply and efficiently. However, the reliability of AI-generated content should always be cross-checked with primary sources to ensure academic rigor.

GenAI tools are also transforming creative projects in higher education by enabling students to generate visual content, music, and multimedia presentations (Kurtz et al., 2024). Tools like DALL·E and DeepArt allow students to create images or artwork from textual descriptions, offering new possibilities for design, architecture, and art students. Similarly, AI music generation platforms, such as Amper Music and AIVA, assist in composing original music or soundtracks, which can be used in multimedia projects, film studies, or even in creative writing and theater courses.

In multimedia presentations, Rundquist (2024) lists AI tools that can help students design visually engaging slides, generate relevant graphics, and enhance video content with AI-generated visuals and audio. These tools significantly broaden the scope for creative expression, allowing students to experiment with concepts and ideas in ways that were

previously time-consuming or technically challenging. However, it is important to guide students in balancing AI assistance with their own creativity, ensuring that AI complements rather than replaces human innovation in the creative process.<sup>1</sup>

GenAI is increasingly being utilized as a powerful tool for assisting students with programming tasks in higher education. Platforms like GitHub Copilot and OpenAI's Codex provide real-time code suggestions, auto-completion, and debugging assistance, allowing students to write code more efficiently (Myscale, 2024). These AI tools can analyze the context of the code being written and offer relevant snippets or solutions, helping students learn coding patterns and best practices.

Additionally, GenAI can help students grasp complex programming concepts by providing explanations, tutorials, or even generating example code to illustrate specific functions or algorithms (Myscale, 2024). This support is particularly beneficial for beginners who may struggle with understanding the syntax and logic of programming languages. Yilmaz and Yilmaz (2023, p. 2) emphasize that by facilitating a "hands-on coding approach," AI tools can encourage students to experiment and explore coding in a more interactive manner. However, the authors caution that educators must stress the importance of understanding the underlying principles of programming, ensuring that students use AI tools as aids rather than crutches that might hinder their learning journey (Yilmaz & Yilmaz, 2023).

## Benefits of Integrating GenAI Tools in Higher Education

### Enhanced Learning Experiences

AI has the potential to transform the educational landscape by offering personalized feedback, supplementing human instructors, and creating engaging learning opportunities (Bettayeb et al., 2024; UNESCO, 2022). By analyzing student performance in real time, AI systems can deliver tailored insights that address individual strengths and weaknesses. For example, tools like Grammarly provide specific suggestions for improvement, enabling students to understand their learning gaps and adjust their study strategies effectively, which is essential for adaptive learning (Pacheco-Mendoza et al., 2023).

While human instructors are vital, AI can serve as a valuable support system by managing routine tasks like grading and administrative duties. Seo et al. (2021) highlight that AI tools can personalize online learning, allowing instructors to focus on mentoring and fostering classroom discussions. Additionally, AI creates interactive environments through simulations and game-like experiences that cater to various learning styles, encouraging active participation (Luckin, 2018; Seo et al., 2021). By leveraging AI, higher education institutions can enhance the learning experience, meeting diverse student needs and fostering a more dynamic educational environment.

---

<sup>1</sup> At FPT University in Viet Nam, a lecturer was recently dismissed after giving a student a zero for submitting an assignment completed with the help of AI. This decision has sparked significant debate among students and faculty, highlighting the challenges and ethical dilemmas surrounding the use of AI in education. The university acknowledges the need to adapt its policies to better address the implications of AI tools and ensure academic integrity while also exploring the benefits these technologies can bring to the learning process (VTC News, 2024).

## Efficiency for Instructors

AI tools can significantly support educators by automating repetitive tasks such as grading, content creation, and lesson planning, enabling them to focus on more meaningful aspects of teaching. In Vietnam, where teachers often manage large classes and administrative duties, AI systems can streamline grading by automatically assessing multiple-choice quizzes and providing feedback on essays through natural language processing (Seo et al., 2021). This saves time while ensuring consistency and fairness in evaluation.

For content creation, AI can assist in generating teaching materials aligned with course objectives. Tools like Quizizz, Quizlet, and Kahoot can create interactive quizzes tailored to diverse student needs, particularly beneficial for those learning English as a second language. AI platforms can also analyze curricula and suggest lesson structures and resources, allowing educators to engage more deeply with students and foster critical thinking, essential for preparing them for a competitive global workforce (Nguyen et al., 2022).

## Promoting Creativity

AI plays a transformative role in enhancing student creativity by providing tools that encourage experimentation with new ideas and projects (UNESCO, 2022). GenAI tools like ChatGPT and DALL·E allow students to brainstorm, create visual content, and push their creative boundaries. For instance, AI can generate story prompts and suggest character developments in creative writing, inspiring students to take risks in their storytelling (Hutson et al., 2024; Zhou et al., 2024).

Additionally, AI-powered platforms, as noted by Microsoft (2024), democratize access to high-quality multimedia resources, enabling students to experiment with digital art, music composition, and more. Furthermore, AI fosters collaborative creativity by connecting students with peers and experts globally, enhancing innovation and creative confidence. Ultimately, these tools empower students to explore their creative potential, cultivating skills essential in an interconnected world (Henriksen et al., 2024).

## Support for Diverse Learning Styles

As Varsik and Vosberg (2024) argue, AI can significantly enhance inclusivity in education by adapting content to meet diverse learning needs. By analyzing performance data, AI systems identify individual learning styles and areas for improvement, facilitating personalized educational experiences. For instance, AI-driven platforms can offer various content formats - videos, interactive simulations, and text resources - allowing students to engage in ways that align with their preferences. Visual learners benefit from infographics, while auditory learners might prefer podcasts. Additionally, AI provides real-time feedback and adjusts task difficulty based on performance, ensuring appropriate challenges. For students with special needs, AI can offer tailored resources, such as speech-to-text software. By leveraging AI, higher education institutions can ensure equitable access to quality education, empowering all students to reach their full potential (Varsik & Vosberg, 2024; UNESCO, 2022).

## Challenges and Risks of GenAI in Higher Education

### Over-Reliance on AI

Habib (2024) argues that while AI tools offer significant benefits in enhancing learning experiences, there is a growing concern about students becoming overly dependent on these technologies, which could undermine their critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills. Similarly, Schei et al. (2024) warn that as students increasingly rely on AI for tasks such as essay writing, problem-solving, or generating ideas, they may bypass essential cognitive processes that are crucial for deep understanding and innovation.

This dependence can lead to a passive learning approach where students may accept AI-generated content without questioning its validity or relevance, thereby “impairing critical cognitive skills” such as critical and analytical thinking as well as decision-making (Grassini, 2023 as cited in Zhai et al., 2024, p. 2). For instance, if students turn to AI for quick solutions or answers, they may neglect the critical thinking needed to evaluate information, identify biases, and develop independent viewpoints. Additionally, this reliance can limit opportunities for creative expression, as students may lean towards AI-generated suggestions rather than exploring their unique ideas and perspectives (Zhai et al., 2024).

Furthermore, when faced with complex problems, students accustomed to AI assistance might struggle to develop effective problem-solving strategies independently. To mitigate these risks, educational institutions must emphasize the importance of human cognition, encouraging students to use AI as a supplementary tool rather than an aid, fostering an environment that promotes inquiry, creativity, and independent thinking (Milberg, 2024; Yin, 2024).

### Ethical and Legal Concerns

The rise of AI tools in education raises significant issues, including AI-generated plagiarism, intellectual property concerns, and potential misuse in academic work. For example, Grassini (2023) highlights the ethical concerns surrounding the misuse of intellectual property due to the widespread adoption of AI, stressing how difficult it has become to assess the originality of student work generated by AI tools like ChatGPT. AI can easily generate content that closely resembles existing work, making it challenging to determine originality and authenticity. This can lead to instances of plagiarism, undermining academic integrity.

Additionally, intellectual property concerns arise when AI-generated content is based on proprietary material, raising questions about ownership and rights. Misuse of AI can also manifest in students submitting entirely AI-generated assignments, which diminishes their learning experience and understanding of the subject matter. Addressing these issues requires clear guidelines and ethical frameworks to promote responsible AI use while preserving academic standards and fostering creativity (Center for Teaching Excellence, 2024; CETLI, 2023; Research & Innovation, 2024; see also Endnote \* in Sect. 2.2).

## Bias in AI Models

Bias in AI algorithms can significantly impact the quality of education and assessment by spreading inequalities and misrepresenting student capabilities. According to Perrotta (n.d), for example, biased algorithms may favor certain demographic groups, leading to unfair evaluations or recommendations that disadvantage others. This can undermine students' confidence and hinder their academic progress.

To mitigate the risks of bias in AI systems, educational institutions should implement several strategies, such as ensuring AI tools are trained on diverse datasets, conducting regular audits to detect and correct biases, fostering transparency in AI-driven decision-making, and involving educators and students in the development process to enhance fairness and address concerns (Manyika et al., 2019).

## Lack of AI Transparency

The opacity of AI decision-making complicates its use in education, leading to mistrust among students and educators. Without clarity on how AI outputs are generated, educators struggle to provide informed guidance, and students miss opportunities to develop critical thinking skills. To address this, transparency and discussions about AI limitations are essential in fostering trust and improving its application in learning environments (Manyika et al., 2019; Perrotta, n.d).

## Challenges Faced and Solutions Implemented

Integrating AI tools into education poses challenges, including limitations in technical infrastructure, faculty training, and concerns over academic integrity. Many institutions struggle with the technology required to support AI, especially in under-resourced environments, while faculty may lack the skills to integrate AI effectively, leading to improper use (Luckin, 2018; Selwyn, 2021). Academic integrity issues, such as plagiarism and fairness in AI-generated content, further complicate the process. Holmes et al. (2019) propose that institutions have taken steps like investing in cloud-based solutions, implementing faculty development programs, and establishing clear policies for AI use and transparency to address AI-related challenges. They stress that such actions are crucial to ensuring AI supports, rather than replaces, the learning process.

## Ethical and Pedagogical Principles for the Use of GenAI Tools in Higher Education

### Academic Integrity

Ensuring academic integrity is paramount when integrating GenAI tools in higher education. While these tools have the potential to enhance learning by providing scaffolding for idea generation and writing, their misuse poses significant risks to academic honesty and the authenticity of student work. For instance, Yusuf et al. (2024) emphasize the importance of producing original content and avoiding plagiarism, yet their analysis overlooks

whether students fully understand the ethical boundaries of AI usage or the nuances of attribution.

Critics argue that merely requiring students to disclose AI use may not be sufficient to foster integrity. While institutions like the Center for Teaching Excellence (2024) have implemented policies encouraging transparency, enforcement remains a challenge. How should educators verify AI usage in student submissions? Moreover, existing studies largely focus on policy recommendations but lack empirical evidence on their effectiveness in real-world academic settings. For example, while some institutions advocate using AI tools as aids for idea generation, they provide limited guidance on distinguishing between acceptable and unacceptable usage. This ambiguity could lead to inconsistent applications of integrity policies and even unintentional violations by students.

Additionally, there is concern about the broader implications of integrating AI into education. Some researchers suggest that over-reliance on AI tools might undermine critical thinking and writing skills, as students may bypass the cognitive processes involved in crafting original content. However, others argue that these tools, if used responsibly, could democratize access to high-quality feedback and improve learning outcomes for students who may not have other support systems. Balancing these perspectives requires institutions to go beyond prescriptive guidelines and actively engage with students to explore the ethical and pedagogical implications of AI use.

To ensure effective integration, institutions should not only establish clear policies but also critically evaluate their impact on student learning. For example, longitudinal studies could investigate whether policies mandating AI disclosure effectively deter academic misconduct or whether they inadvertently penalize students who rely on AI for legitimate purposes, such as drafting initial ideas. By fostering open dialogue and investing in faculty development, schools can cultivate an environment where AI is viewed as a complement rather than a substitute for genuine learning.

### **Critical Thinking and Human-AI Collaboration**

It is essential to stress that GenAI tools should not replace human cognitive processes but rather enhance them. While AI can assist in generating ideas, summaries, and even creative content, students must actively engage in critical analysis, synthesis, and evaluation of these AI-generated outputs. As Holmes et al. (2019) observe, this engagement is crucial for developing higher-order thinking skills, as it encourages students to assess the quality and relevance of information provided by AI, ensuring they do not accept outputs at face value. By fostering a mindset that values inquiry and skepticism, educators can prepare students to navigate an increasingly complex digital landscape where information is abundant but varies in quality.

To operationalize these principles, frameworks such as the one proposed by Yusuf et al. (2024) offer valuable guidance. Yusuf et al. highlight a step-by-step process for synthesizing AI-generated content, which includes evaluating its coherence, cross-referencing with reliable sources, and integrating human-authored insights to refine outputs. This approach aligns with the cognitive skills outlined by Bloom's taxonomy, emphasizing analysis and synthesis as critical components of learning. However, the framework's success depends on students' ability to critically assess AI-generated outputs - a skill that requires deliberate instruction and practice. Without these, students may default to over-relying on AI, thus diminishing their own cognitive engagement.

Educators can adapt these frameworks into classroom activities to enhance critical thinking and foster originality. For instance, Kim et al. (2024) suggest assignments where students critically review an AI-generated essay, identify its strengths and weaknesses, and then improve it with their unique insights. This activity not only develops analytical skills but also reinforces students' understanding of how to generate high-quality work. Similarly, Sandy (2024) recommends encouraging students to explore ethical implications and biases in AI outputs, which can deepen their understanding of the nuanced relationship between human cognition and artificial intelligence.

Despite their potential, these approaches are not without challenges. For example, integrating frameworks like those by Yusuf et al. (2024) requires educators to have a strong understanding of AI tools and their limitations, which may necessitate professional development. Additionally, some students may resist such activities, viewing them as an unnecessary complication rather than a valuable learning opportunity. Nevertheless, when implemented thoughtfully, these methods can empower students to use AI as a tool for creativity and critical engagement, rather than a shortcut to avoid intellectual effort.

By promoting activities grounded in evidence-based frameworks, educators can prepare students to navigate the interplay between human and artificial cognition, ensuring that GenAI serves as a means to enhance - not replace - higher-order thinking skills.

## **Equitable Access and Inclusivity**

Addressing concerns about equitable access to GenAI tools is critical in higher education, particularly given the potential for disparities in learning opportunities among different socioeconomic groups. As AI technologies become more integrated into educational practices, students lacking access to these tools may find themselves at a disadvantage, intensifying existing inequalities. However, ensuring equitable access is a complex challenge requiring nuanced and sustainable solutions.

While EDUCAUSE Review (2021) proposes providing free or discounted access to GenAI tools and hardware as a key strategy, the feasibility of such initiatives warrants critical examination. Financial constraints faced by many educational institutions may limit their ability to subsidize technology for all students. Furthermore, reliance on subsidized access could inadvertently perpetuate dependency on proprietary tools, leaving institutions vulnerable to price increases or licensing changes in the future. Exploring alternatives, such as promoting open-source AI tools, could offer a more sustainable and cost-effective solution, though this approach requires significant investment in training and technical support.

In addition to access, EDUCAUSE Review emphasizes the importance of training students through workshops and tutorials to use GenAI effectively. While commendable, this approach risks being superficial if not tailored to diverse student needs. For example, students from non-technical backgrounds may require more foundational training, while advanced users may benefit from specialized sessions focused on ethical considerations and advanced applications of AI. Thus, a one-size-fits-all training program may fail to address the diversity of learning requirements and competencies within student populations.

Other studies propose leveraging partnerships with technology companies to address the digital divide. For instance, collaborative initiatives between educational institutions and AI developers could ensure not only access but also ongoing support and updates. However, such partnerships raise ethical questions about data privacy and the potential commercialization of education. Institutions must carefully balance these concerns to avoid exacerbating inequalities through hidden costs or intrusive data practices.

Addressing these challenges requires institutions to adopt a multifaceted approach. Beyond technical access and training, fostering digital literacy and critical thinking is paramount to ensuring students can engage meaningfully with AI tools. Without these foundational skills, providing access alone may do little to bridge the digital divide, as students might struggle to integrate AI effectively into their learning.

Ultimately, achieving equitable access to GenAI tools is not just a matter of technology provision but a broader commitment to inclusive educational practices. By critically evaluating existing strategies and exploring innovative alternatives, institutions can promote not only equity but also the broader goals of preparing all students for success in a technology-driven world. This approach underscores the need for higher education to lead by example in fostering a fair and inclusive digital future.

## **Pedagogical Alignment**

GenAI tools hold transformative potential in higher education, but their integration must align with pedagogical goals while supporting personalized, student-centered learning. Studies like Milberg (2024) and Yekollu et al. (2024) highlight AI's ability to tailor educational experiences and foster inclusivity. However, these benefits must be weighed against practical challenges, including data privacy concerns and the risk of perpetuating biases inherent in training datasets.

AI's capacity to create personalized study plans is promising, yet it often relies on patterns that may overlook underrepresented groups or atypical learning profiles. Similarly, AI's role in facilitating peer collaboration, such as suggesting group activities (Milberg, 2024), assumes it can capture nuanced group dynamics. Without careful oversight, such tools may lead to mismatched groups or reinforce hierarchies, undermining collaboration.

While AI can promote critical engagement by requiring students to evaluate and refine AI-generated content, poorly designed implementations risk fostering superficial reliance on technology. If students merely edit outputs without understanding underlying processes, higher-order thinking skills may not develop as intended. Educators must balance using AI for support with ensuring students remain actively engaged in learning. Broader concerns include the potential overreliance on AI, which could shift focus from humanistic aspects of education, such as fostering empathy, ethical reasoning, and interpersonal skills. This risks narrowing education's scope, prioritizing efficiency over holistic development.

To address these challenges, institutions should critically evaluate AI integration through pilot programs and interdisciplinary collaboration involving educators, technologists, and ethicists. Such efforts can refine strategies, ensuring AI enhances educational objectives rather than undermines them. By balancing AI's potential with its limitations, educators can safeguard core values while empowering students through meaningful, equitable learning experiences.

## Ethical Use and Data Privacy

As generative AI tools become increasingly prevalent in higher education, ethical concerns surrounding their use demand critical attention. A major issue is the protection of student data. AI systems often require access to sensitive personal information, making robust data privacy measures essential. Gujjula and Sanghera (2023) argue that safeguarding student data builds trust in educational technologies. However, their study fails to fully address the challenges institutions face in balancing privacy with the need for AI-driven personalized learning. Additionally, they overlook the global variation in data protection regulations, which can complicate compliance. More empirical research is necessary to explore practical solutions for maintaining data privacy without hindering AI effectiveness.

Another key ethical issue is the transparency of AI algorithms. Blackman and Ammanath (2022) stress that transparency is vital for fostering stakeholder trust. Yet, many generative AI tools operate as “black boxes,” where decision-making processes are opaque. This can lead to biases and reinforce stereotypes. While the authors propose clearer documentation to improve transparency, this approach oversimplifies the complexities of AI systems. Deep learning models, for instance, are inherently difficult to explain, and current explainability tools often fall short in providing clear insights into AI decision-making. A more nuanced approach is needed to address the transparency challenges inherent in AI systems.

To address these concerns, institutions should establish clear data handling policies and integrate ethical discussions into curricula. Ghimire et al. (2024) and Ifenthaler et al. (2024) advocate for informing students about data collection practices to foster trust. However, this overlooks the varied technological literacy levels among students. Simply informing students may not be sufficient; active engagement on data rights and AI ethics is essential. Moreover, while incorporating AI ethics into curricula is beneficial, there is limited evidence of its widespread implementation. Practical guidelines for facilitating these discussions are needed.

In brief, while existing literature highlights critical ethical concerns, it often lacks comprehensive frameworks for real-world implementation. Further research is needed to explore how AI can be ethically integrated into education, addressing privacy, transparency, and bias mitigation effectively.

## Lifelong Learning and AI Literacy

As AI reshapes industries and job markets, the need for AI literacy - understanding AI technologies and critically evaluating their tools and outputs - has become urgent (Černý, 2024). While its significance is widely acknowledged, challenges in implementation and the broader implications for education remain underexplored.

Proponents argue that AI literacy equips students with critical thinking skills, helping them assess the quality, relevance, and ethical implications of AI-generated content (MIT Sloan, n.d.). This is vital for combating misinformation and fostering informed decision-making. However, many educators lack the resources and training to effectively teach these skills, especially in underfunded institutions. This disparity raises concerns about equity in preparing students for AI-driven industries.

Efforts to integrate AI literacy, such as workshops and interdisciplinary projects (Aliabadi et al., 2023), offer promising models. Yet, these often emphasize technical engagement while neglecting ethical and societal questions. For instance, while

interdisciplinary projects expose students to AI applications, they risk reinforcing a utilitarian view that overlooks systemic biases and inequalities perpetuated by AI.

The push for AI literacy often assumes the inevitability of AI, presenting it as an essential component for success in fields like healthcare and education (Bhullar et al., 2024; Yin, 2024). This perspective tends to minimize ethical discussions regarding the boundaries of AI adoption. It raises important concerns about whether education should focus on adapting to AI or prioritize developing alternative, human-centered problem-solving approaches. These tensions are often overlooked, resulting in gaps within the ongoing discourse. Furthermore, empirical research on the long-term impact of AI literacy remains scarce (Ardito, 2024; Skulmowski, 2024). It is unclear whether AI literacy merely enhances technical skills or if it also promotes broader critical thinking and ethical awareness. Longitudinal studies are necessary to assess its role in shaping individuals who are adaptable and socially responsible.

To effectively promote AI literacy, education systems must critically evaluate their goals and methods, shifting the focus from merely equipping students to navigate an AI-driven world to empowering them to question and influence AI's role in society. This requires developing scalable, inclusive approaches that address resource disparities across institutions and regions, ensuring equitable access to AI literacy. Curricula must also be broadened to include critical discussions on AI's societal implications, ethical dilemmas, and limitations, fostering a deeper understanding of its broader impact. Additionally, rigorous research is essential to validate the effectiveness of AI literacy initiatives, refining pedagogical strategies to cultivate not only technical proficiency but also critical thinking and ethical awareness in students.

By addressing these challenges, education can empower students not just to navigate an AI-powered world but to critically question and shape its role in society.

## **Evolving Role of Educators**

The rise of AI in education is reshaping the role of teachers, transitioning them from traditional content delivery to mentorship and facilitation. While this shift offers opportunities, it also raises important concerns about its feasibility and broader implications.

Advocates argue that as AI handles tasks like content generation, grading, and personalized feedback, educators can focus on guiding students in critically engaging with AI tools (Gnanaprakasam & Lourdasamy, 2024; MIT Sloan, n.d.). This vision of teachers as mentors - helping students assess AI-generated content, detect bias, and develop ethical reasoning - depends on educators having the necessary AI expertise. However, many teachers lack formal AI training, limiting their ability to critique AI outputs effectively. Without targeted professional development, this role shift remains aspirational.

While AI tools can enhance personalized learning and real-time feedback, concerns arise about over-reliance. AI may encourage students to depend on automated systems for intellectual tasks, hindering their independent thinking. Educators must balance AI's benefits with fostering autonomous critical thinking - an issue not fully addressed in current discussions.

Moreover, the claim that AI will free up teachers to focus on higher-order skills assumes that AI integration will not introduce new demands. In reality, managing

technical issues, interpreting algorithmic outputs, and addressing ethical concerns can consume significant time, potentially increasing teachers' workloads.

The idea of fostering ethical AI use also assumes students will be receptive to such guidance. With AI tools becoming ubiquitous outside classrooms, students may develop uncritical habits before learning about AI ethics. To address this, AI ethics should be integrated early in education, not reserved for higher education or specialized courses.

To fully harness AI's transformative potential in education, several key challenges must be addressed. First, teacher training is essential to equip educators with the skills and confidence to critically engage with AI technologies and mentor students effectively. Curriculum design should integrate AI literacy, critical thinking, creativity, and ethical reasoning from the earliest stages of education. Additionally, ensuring equity and access is crucial, particularly for under-resourced schools, so they can access the necessary AI technologies and support. Lastly, ongoing evaluation through longitudinal studies is needed to assess whether AI integration truly enhances learning outcomes or merely shifts responsibilities without meaningful improvement.

While AI holds immense potential to enrich education, its integration must be approached critically, with an eye toward addressing practical, ethical, and pedagogical challenges. Only by doing so can educators truly empower students to collaborate with, rather than depend on, these technologies.

### **AI as a Pedagogical Tool**

The integration of AI in education offers potential benefits, such as personalized learning and independent study, but also raises significant challenges. AI tools can adapt to individual student needs, provide targeted feedback, and promote self-directed learning (Luckin, 2018). However, these benefits assume all students have the necessary digital literacy and access to technology, which can exacerbate educational inequalities. Some students may benefit more than others from these tools, deepening the digital divide.

AI-based tutoring systems aim to support, not replace, human intelligence, but they depend on the quality of their algorithms and data (Cukurova et al., 2019). Biases in AI models, resulting from skewed training data, can lead to inequitable outcomes. For example, underrepresented groups may receive inaccurate feedback or face cultural mismatches in content. Ongoing scrutiny and refinement of AI systems are needed to ensure inclusivity and fairness.

Another concern is student accountability. The ease of AI-generated content may encourage over-reliance, reducing students' engagement with material. Clear guidelines for responsible AI use and transparent assignment practices are suggested (Center for Teaching Excellence, 2024). However, these guidelines place an additional burden on educators, who must balance enforcement with other teaching duties. Beyond policy, students must also be equipped to critically evaluate AI outputs and understand their limitations.

While AI can automate grading and feedback efficiently, it lacks the nuanced understanding needed for assessing complex or creative work. Educators must remain involved in interpreting AI outputs to ensure that critical thinking and creativity are not overshadowed by algorithm-driven assessments (Selwyn, 2016; Luckin, 2018). A hybrid approach, where AI complements human teaching, is essential.

To maximize AI's potential in education, key steps must be taken: ensuring equity and accessibility, establishing ethical guidelines, maintaining human oversight, and

addressing biases in AI systems. Without these efforts, the benefits of AI may not be equally realized, undermining the educational process.

## Policy Recommendations

### Institutional Policies on AI Use in Higher Education

Some guidelines for universities aimed at regulating and supporting the integration of GenAI tools within academic environments are proposed in this paper. Key recommendations include establishing clear rules for AI use in assignments and exams, requiring students to disclose AI usage in their work, developing AI literacy programs, creating guidelines for AI-assisted feedback, ensuring equitable access to AI tools, protecting student data and privacy, and supporting faculty development and training. These guidelines aim to ensure responsible use, enhance academic integrity, and promote effective utilization of AI technologies in teaching, learning, and research.

By establishing these guidelines, it is expected universities can ensure that GenAI is used to enhance learning while maintaining academic integrity and equitable access.

### AI Ethics Education

Nguyen et al. (2022, p. 4236) emphasize, the complexity of AI demands a “holistic and applicable set of ethical principles” in the educational context. Thus, advocating for the inclusion of ethics in AI education is essential to ensure students understand the ethical implications of using AI in their academic work.

Universities should integrate ethics into AI and technology curricula, covering topics like data privacy, algorithmic bias, and societal impacts. Ethical discussions should span all disciplines to ensure a broader understanding. Real-world case studies on AI-generated plagiarism, biased decisions, and intellectual property issues foster critical thinking. Clear, accessible policies defining ethical AI use in academic work should be developed, promoting transparency and originality. Faculty can design projects exploring ethical AI dilemmas, encouraging practical understanding. Open discussions, seminars, and debates on AI’s ethical impacts, featuring experts, will help students reflect on responsible AI usage. This approach ensures AI complements human capabilities while upholding academic integrity and ethical standards.

By advocating for and embedding AI ethics into education, universities can equip students with the critical tools they need to responsibly navigate AI’s growing influence on academic work and beyond. This approach ensures that AI serves as a complement to human capabilities while upholding academic integrity and ethical standards.

### Ongoing Training for Educators

Ongoing professional development is essential for educators to integrate AI tools effectively into their teaching practices. Universities should offer regular training and workshops

on GenAI tools like ChatGPT, Copilot and DALL·E, emphasizing practical applications for student engagement and personalized learning while addressing ethical concerns and limitations.

Encouraging participation in AI-focused professional learning communities allows educators to collaborate and stay updated on advancements. Tailored AI literacy programs will help educators understand technical concepts, tackle issues like data privacy and algorithmic bias, and ensure human oversight in AI-driven teaching.

Incorporating AI education into certification and continuing education credits can incentivize educators to enhance their expertise. Access to online courses, webinars, and AI Labs for experimentation fosters hands-on learning. Furthermore, universities should promote participation in AI conferences and establish mentorship programs to pair experienced educators with novices, ensuring AI enhances their pedagogical strategies rather than disrupts them.

## Conclusion

In essence, the integration of generative AI in higher education presents significant benefits, enhancing creativity, efficiency, and personalized learning. For instance, GenAI tools such as AI-driven writing assistants have been shown to support creativity by generating diverse ideas and prompts, while adaptive learning platforms personalize instruction by tailoring content to individual learners' needs. Additionally, automated grading systems improve efficiency by reducing faculty workload, allowing them to focus on more complex pedagogical tasks.

However, to harness these advantages responsibly, its application must be guided by ethical and pedagogical principles to mitigate risks such as over-reliance, bias, and ethical concerns. Institutions should prioritize academic integrity by ensuring that AI tools complement rather than replace human learning. By emphasizing critical thinking and fostering collaboration between students and AI, deeper engagement can be achieved, while equitable access ensures that all learners benefit from these advancements.

Furthermore, prioritizing ethical use, data privacy, and AI literacy is crucial to protecting student rights and preparing them for an AI-driven future. By embedding AI ethics into curricula, establishing clear usage guidelines, and facilitating discussions on its ethical implications, educational institutions can ensure that AI enhances the learning experience. Ultimately, prioritizing equitable access, safeguarding student privacy, and providing ongoing faculty training are essential steps toward creating an ethical, AI-powered education system that empowers both students and educators alike.

**Acknowledgements** N/A.

**Author Contributions** Author - Conceptualization and writing.

**Funding** No funds, grants, or other support was received.

**Data Availability** N/A.

**Code Availability** N/A.

## Declarations

**Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing Process** During the preparation of this work the author used Copilot to improve readability and language. After using this tool, the author reviewed and edited the content as needed and takes full responsibility for the content of the published article.

**Conflicts of Interest/Competing Interests** The author declares that there are no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

**Ethics Approval** N/A.

**Consent to Participate** N/A.

**Consent for Publication** N/A.

## References

- Aliabadi, R., Singh, A., & Wilson, E. (2023). Transdisciplinary AI education: The confluence of curricular and community needs in the instruction of Artificial Intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence in Education Technologies: New Development and Innovative Practices*, 137–151.
- Ardito, C. G. (2024). Generative AI detection in higher education assessments. *New Dir Teach Learn*, n/a(n/a). <https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.20624>
- Bahroun, Z., Anane, C., Ahmed, V., & Zacca, A. (2023). Transforming education: A comprehensive review of generative Artificial Intelligence in educational settings through bibliometric and content analysis. *Sustainability*, 15(17), 12983. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151712983>
- Bettayeb, A. M., Talib, M. A., Zahraa, A., & Dakalbab, F. (2024). Exploring the impact of ChatGPT: Conversational AI in education. *Frontiers in Education*, 9(7). <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1379796>
- Bhullar, P. S., Joshi, M., & Chugh, R. (2024). ChatGPT in higher education - a synthesis of the literature and a future research agenda. *Education and Information Technologies*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12723-x>
- Blackman, R., & Ammanath, B. (2022, June 20). *Building transparency into AI projects*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2022/06/building-transparency-into-ai-projects>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a>
- Celik, I. (2023). Towards intelligent-Tpack: An empirical study on teachers' professional knowledge to ethically integrate artificial intelligence (AI)-based tools into education. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 138(138), 107468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107468>
- Center for Teaching Innovation (n.d.). *AI & academic integrity*. Teaching.cornell.edu; Cornell University. <https://teaching.cornell.edu/generative-artificial-intelligence/ai-academic-integrity>
- Center for Teaching Excellence (2024, July). *Ethical use of AI in writing assignments*. Cte.ku.edu; The University of Kansas. <https://cte.ku.edu/ethical-use-ai-writing-assignments>
- Černý, M. (2024). University students' conceptualisation of AI literacy: Theory and empirical evidence. *Social Sciences*, 13(3), 129–129. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci13030129>
- CETLI. (2023, July 25). *Academic integrity statements that address generative AI*. Center for Excellence in Teaching, Learning and Innovation; University of Pennsylvania. <https://cetli.upenn.edu/resources/syllabus/academic-integrity-statements-that-address-generative-ai/>
- Chubb, J., Cowling, P., & Reed, D. (2021). Speeding up to keep up: Exploring the use of AI in the research process. *AI & SOCIETY*, 1(37). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01259-0>
- Cukurova, M., Kent, C., & Luckin, R. (2019). Artificial intelligence and multimodal data in the service of human decision-making: A case study in debate tutoring. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(6), 3032–3046. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12829>
- EDUCAUSE Review (2021). *Leveraging generative AI for inclusive excellence in higher education*. *EDUCAUSE Review*. <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2024/8/leveraging-generative-ai-for-inclusive-excellence-in-higher-education>
- Ghimire, A., & Edwards, J. (2024). From guidelines to Governance: A study of AI policies in Education. In A. M. Olney, I. Chounta, Z. Liu, O. C. Santos, I. Bittencourt, & Ibert (Eds.), *Artificial Intelligence in*

- Education. Posters and late breaking results, Workshops and tutorials, Industry and Innovation Tracks, Practitioners, Doctoral Consortium and Blue Sky* (pp. 299–307). Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Gnanaprakasam, J., & Lourdasamy, R. (2024). *The role of AI in automating grading: Enhancing feedback and efficiency* (Seifedine Kadry, Ed.). IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1005025>
- Grassini, S. (2023). Shaping the future of education: Exploring the potential and consequences of AI and chatgpt in educational settings. *Education Sciences*, 13(7), 692. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13070692>
- Gujjula, R., & Sanghera, K. (2023). Ethical considerations and data privacy in AI education. *Journal of Student-Scientists' Research*, 2023(5). <https://doi.org/10.13021/jssr2023.3958>
- Habib, S. (2024, February 5). *AI can help—and hurt—student creativity*. University of South Carolina. <https://sc.edu/uofsc/posts/2024/02/conversation-ai-help.php>
- Henriksen, D., Mishra, P., & Stern, R. (2024). Creative learning for sustainability in a world of AI: Action. *Mindset Values Sustainability*, 16(11), 4451–4451. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16114451>
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. The Center For Curriculum Redesign.
- Hu, K. (2023, February 2). ChatGPT sets record for fastest-growing user base. *Reuters*. <https://www.reuters.com/technology/chatgpt-sets-record-fastest-growing-user-base-analyst-note-2023-02-01/>
- Hutson, J., Lively, J., Robertson, B., Cotroneo, P., Lang, M., Hutson, J., Lively, J., Robertson, B., Cotroneo, P., & Lang, M. (2024). Expanding Horizons: AI tools and workflows in Art Practice. *Creative Convergence: The AI Renaissance in Art and Design* (pp. 101–132). Springer Nature Switzerland. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-45127-0\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-45127-0_5)
- Ifenthaler, D., Majumdar, R., Gorissen, P., Judge, M., Mishra, S., Raffaghelli, J., & Shimada, A. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: Implications for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners. *Technology Knowledge and Learning* 2024, 29(4), 1693–1710. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758024097470>
- Interaction Design Foundation (2023, December 1). *What is Generative AI?* The Interaction Design Foundation. <https://www.interaction-design.org/literature/topics/generative-ai>
- Jin, Y., Yan, L., & Echeverría, V. Dragan Gašević, & Roberto Martínez Maldonado. (2024). Generative AI in higher education: A global perspective of institutional adoption policies and guidelines. *ArXiv, abs/2405.11800*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:269921841>
- Kim, J., Yu, S., Detrick, R., & Li, N. (2024). Exploring students' perspectives on generative AI-assisted academic writing. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(1), 1265–1300. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12878-7>
- Kurtz, G., Amzalag, M., Shaked, N., Zaguri, Y., Kohen-Vacs, D., Gal, E., Zailer, G., & Barak-Medina, E. (2024). Strategies for integrating generative AI into higher education: Navigating challenges and leveraging opportunities. *Education Sciences*, 14(5), 503. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14050503>
- Lee, D., Arnold, M., Srivastava, A., Plastow, K., Strelan, P., Ploeckl, F., Lekkas, D., & Palmer, E. (2024). The impact of generative AI on higher education learning and teaching: A study of educators' perspectives. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 6(100221), 100221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100221>
- Luckin, R. (2018). *Machine learning and human intelligence: The future of education for the 21st century*. Ucl Institute Of Education.
- Manyika, J., Silberg, J., & Presten, B. (2019, October 25). *What do we do about the biases in AI?* Harvard Business Review; Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2019/10/what-do-we-do-about-the-biases-in-ai>
- McDonald, N., Johri, A., Ali, A., & Hingle, A. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence in higher education: Evidence from an analysis of institutional policies and guidelines. *ArXiv (Cornell University), Jan 2024*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2402.01659>
- Microsoft, & Microsoft (2024). *Bing image creator improvements with DALL-E 3*. Microsoft Bing. <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/bing/do-more-with-ai/image-creator-improvements-dall-e-3?form=MA13KP>
- Milberg, T. (2024, April 28). *The future of learning: AI is revolutionizing education 4.0*. World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2024/04/future-learning-ai-revolutionizing-education-4-0/>
- MIT Sloan (n.d.). *Practical strategies for teaching with AI*. MIT Sloan Teaching & Learning Technologies. <https://mitsloanedtech.mit.edu/ai/teach/practical-strategies-for-teaching-with-ai/>
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and Meta-analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
- Montenegro-Rueda, M., Fernández-Cerero, J., Fernández-Batanero, J. M., & López-Meneses, E. (2023). Impact of the implementation of ChatGPT in education: A systematic review. *Computers*, 12(8), 153. <https://doi.org/10.3390/computers12080153>

- Myscale (2024, May 22). *Unlocking variances: OpenAI code Copilot vs GitHub Copilot*. Myscale.com. <https://myscale.com/blog/key-differences-openai-code-copilot-github-copilot/>
- Nguyen, A., Ngo, H. N., Hong, Y., Dang, B., & Nguyen, B. P. T. (2022). Ethical principles for artificial intelligence in education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(28). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11316-w>
- Pacheco-Mendoza, S., Guevara, C., Mayorga-Albán, A., & Fernández-Escobar, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: A predictive model for academic performance. *Education Sciences*, 13(10), 990. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13100990>
- Perrotta, C. (n.d.). *Algorithmic bias and fairness in education: A (very brief) primer*. Monash Digital Education Research. <https://der.monash.edu/algorithmic-bias-and-fairness-in-education-a-very-brief-primer/>
- Research & Innovation. (2024). *Generative AI in academic research: Perspectives and cultural norms*. Research & Innovation. <https://research-and-innovation.cornell.edu/generative-ai-in-academic-research/> Cornell University.
- Rundquist, S. (2024). *12 best AI tools for presentations in 2024*. Mentimeter. <https://www.mentimeter.com/blog/meetings/ai-tools-for-presentations>
- Samala, A. D., Rawas, S., Wang, T., Reed, J. M., Kim, J., Howard N.-J., & Ertz, M. (2024). Unveiling the landscape of generative artificial intelligence in education: A comprehensive taxonomy of applications, challenges, and future prospects. *Education and Information Technologies*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12936-0>
- Sandy (2024, September 9). *The impact of AI on academic writing: Challenges and solutions for students*. CocoFAX Blog; CocoFAX Blog. <https://cocofax.com/blog/the-impact-of-ai-on-academic-writing-challenges-and-solutions-for-students/>
- Schei, O. M., Møgelvang, A., & Ludvigsen, K. (2024). Perceptions and use of AI chatbots among students in higher education: A scoping review of empirical studies. *Education Sciences*, 14(8), 922. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14080922>
- ScreenApp (2024). *ScreenApp watches video for you*. Screenapp.io. <https://screenapp.io/blog/school-arcy-review>
- Selwyn, N. (2016). *Is technology good for education?* Malden.
- Selwyn, N. (2021). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates* (Third edition). Sydney Bloomsbury.
- Seo, K., Tang, J., Roll, I., Fels, S., & Yoon, D. (2021). The impact of Artificial Intelligence on learner–instructor interaction in online learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00292-9>
- Skulmowski, A. (2024). Placebo or assistant? Generative AI between externalization and anthropomorphization. *Educational Psychology Review*, 36(2), Article 58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-024-09894-x>
- Stryker, C., & Scapicchio, M. (2024, March 22). *What is Generative AI?* Www.ibm.com; IBM. <https://www.ibm.com/topics/generative-ai>
- Thorp, H. H. (2023). ChatGPT is fun, but not an author. *Science*, 379(6630), 313–313. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg7879>
- UNESCO (2022). *How can artificial intelligence enhance education?* Unesco.org. <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/how-can-artificial-intelligence-enhance-education>
- Varsik, S., & Vosberg, L. (2024). The potential impact of Artificial Intelligence on equity and inclusion in education. *OECD Artificial Intelligence Papers*, 2024(23). <https://doi.org/10.1787/15df715b-en>
- VTC News (2024, August 31). *Students ridiculed for using AI, what does FPT University say?* Vietnam.vn. <https://www.vietnam.vn/en/sinh-vien-bi-beu-rieu-vi-dung-ai-truong-fpt-noi-gi/#gsc.tab=0>
- Yekollu, R. K., Tejal, B. G., Sammip, S. B., Shivkumar, H., & Kader, A. (2024). AI driven personalized learning paths: Enhancing education through adaptive systems. In R. Asokan, D. P. Ruiz, & S. Piramuthu (Eds.), *Smart Data Intelligence* (pp. 507–517). Springer Nature Singapore.
- Yilmaz, R., & Yilmaz, F. G. K. (2023). The effect of generative artificial intelligence (AI)-based tool use on students' computational thinking skills, programming self-efficacy and motivation. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 4(100147), 100147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100147>
- Yin, W. (2024, January 24). *Will our educational system keep pace with AI? A student's perspective on AI and learning*. EDUCAUSE Review. <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2024/1/will-our-educational-system-keep-pace-with-ai-a-students-perspective-on-ai-and-learning>
- Yusuf, A., Pervin, N., & Román-González, M. (2024). Generative AI and the future of higher education: A threat to academic integrity or reformation? Evidence from multicultural perspectives. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00453-6>

- Zhai, C., Wibowo, S., & Li, L. D. (2024). The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: A systematic review. *Smart Learning Environments*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00316-7>
- Zhou, E., & Lee, D. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence, human creativity, and art. *PNAS Nexus*, 3(3), pgae052. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgae052>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.